

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/991,539	PITTEL, ARKADY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2624	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) DAVID L. FEIGENBAUM.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-41

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed a restriction requiremt of the above-identified claims. Applicant has elected without traverse the examination of Group I invention (See Office Action for details).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)